

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Tetrahedron Letters 47 (2006) 3641-3644

Tetrahedron Letters

# New synthesis of *cis*-3,4-diaryl-1-tosylpyrrolidines

Meng-Yang Chang,<sup>a,\*</sup> Chun-Li Pai<sup>b</sup> and Chun-Yu Lin<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Applied Chemistry, National University of Kaohsiung, Kaohsiung 811, Taiwan <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, National Sun Yat-Sen University, Kaohsiung 804, Taiwan

> Received 24 February 2006; revised 17 March 2006; accepted 22 March 2006 Available online 17 April 2006

**Abstract**—Unsymmetrically substituted *cis*-3,4-diarylpyrrolidines are synthesized in nearly 25% overall yields starting from 4-aryl-1,2,5,6-tetrahydropyridines by iterative reactions using the combination of *m*-chloroperoxybenzoic acid (MCPBA) and boron trifluoride etherate (BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub>) followed by Grignard addition, elimination and hydrogenation sequence. © 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Depending on the substitution pattern and functionalization, different substituted pyrrolidines have been shown to be effective antibacterials or fungicides agents and glycosidase inhibitors.<sup>1</sup> In addition, the chiral pyrrolidine system can be a synthetically useful ligand in the asymmetric reactions. Consequently, a significant effort has been directed toward the development of new methods for the synthesis of mono-, di-, and polysubstituted pyrrolidines.<sup>2,3</sup> While a great number of pyrrolidines and their derivatives with this specific substitution pattern are of particular interest,<sup>4</sup> new methods for their preparation are needed. Basically, the adopted synthetic strategies of 3,4-diarylpyrrolidines can be summarized in Figure 1.

The stereocontrolled functionalization of diarylpyrrolidines has been established as a reliable method. Difficulties are often encountered in this process due to lack of stereo- or regiochemistry, harshness of reaction conditions and availability of starting materials.<sup>5</sup> During the course of our investigation, it became apparent that many of unsymmetrically substituted *cis*-3,4-diarylpyrrolidines required by us could not be obtained in satisfactory yields following reported methods. Herein, we report an efficient method of synthesis of unsymmetrically substituted *cis*-3,4-diarylpyrrolidines starting from



Figure 1.

4-aryl-1,2,5,6-tetrahydropyridines via an iterative reaction sequence using the combination of *m*-chloroperoxybenzoic acid (MCPBA) and boron trifluoride etherate  $(BF_3 \cdot OEt_2)$ .

# 2. Results and discussion

Two 4-aryl-1,2,5,6-tetrahydropyridines **1A** (Ar<sub>1</sub> =  $C_6H_5$ ) and **1B** (Ar<sub>1</sub> = 4-FC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) were chosen as the starting materials in the synthesis of asymmetric *cis*-3,4-diarylpyrrolidines as shown in Scheme 1.<sup>6</sup> 3-Arylpyrrolidin-4-ones **4A** and **4B** were prepared by the treatment of olefins **1A** and **1B** with three repeated combinations of MCPBA and BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub>.

The continuous transformation with the combination of MCPBA and  $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$  was described as follows. Initially, aldehydes **2A** and **2B** were first provided by epoxidation of olefins **1A** and **1B** with MCPBA at rt

*Keywords*: 4-Aryl-1,2,5,6-tetrahydropyridines; 3-Arylpyrrolines; *cis*-3, 4-Diarylpyrrolidines; Grignard addition; *m*-Chloroperoxybenzoic acid; Boron trifluoride etherate.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +886 7 5919464; fax: +886 7 5919348; e-mail: mychang@nuk.edu.tw

<sup>0040-4039/\$ -</sup> see front matter @ 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2006.03.141





for 3 h and followed by ring contraction reaction of the resulting epoxides with  $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$  at 0 °C for 15 min. Next, Baeyer–Villiger reaction of aldehydes 2A and 2B with MCPBA was further provided olefins 3A and 3B at rt for 3 h and followed by elimination reaction of the resulting formyl group with  $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$  at 0 °C for 15 min.<sup>7</sup> Finally, ketones 4A and 4B were provided by epoxidation of olefins 3A and 3B with MCPBA at rt for 3 h and followed by isomerization of the resulting epoxides with  $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$  at 0 °C for 15 min.<sup>8,9</sup>

The transformations from olefins 1 to aldehydes 2 and olefins 3 to ketones 4 are both the BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub>-mediated selective rearrangement of trisubstituted epoxide, which is governed by the stability of intermediary tertiary carbocation, and alkyl migration in the former and hydride shift in the latter takes place, respectively. The total synthetic procedure can be monitored by TLC until the reaction was complete within a working day. As a whole, the overall synthetic procedure from 4-aryl-1,2,5,6-tetrahydropyridines 1A and 1B to 3-arylpyrrol-idin-4-ones 4A and 4B exhibits three different reaction types via the useful combination of MCPBA and BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub>.

With the above results and enough amounts of ketones **4A** and **4B**, the synthesis of *cis*-3,4-diarylpyrrolidines **5Aa**-Ad and **5Ba**-Bd takes place as shown in Scheme 2. Grignard addition of the ketones **4A** and **4B** with four different arylmagnesium bromide reagents (a,  $C_6H_5$ ; b, 2-MeOC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>; c, 4-MeOC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>; d, 3,4-CH<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>) in tetra-hydrofuran at -78 °C for 2 h is followed by dehydration of the resulting tertiary alcohols with BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub> at 0 °C for 15 min. The olefins with fully four substituents were



provided as the major product accompanied with a trace amount of isomer with three substituents as judged by the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum. Without further purification, the mixture of olefins was directly hydrogenated to the 3,4-diarylpyrrolidines **5Aa**–**Ad** and **5Ba–Bd** with hydrogen in the presence of a catalytic amount of 10% palladium on activated carbon.<sup>10</sup>

The related <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data of symmetrical *cis*-3,4-diphenylpyrrolidine **5Aa** were in accordance with the literature.<sup>4a</sup> According to Beak's reports, the assignment of two contiguous stereocenters on the framework of compound **5Aa** was made the cis configuration. With the result in hand, the other diaryl functional group on the pyrrolidine structure could also be arranged as the cis configuration.

#### 3. Conclusion

In summary, we present an easy and straightforward synthesis of unsymmetrically substituted *cis*-3,4-diaryl-pyrrolidines by the treatment of 4-aryl-1,2,5,6-tetra-hydropyridines by iterative synthetic operations using the combination of MCPBA and BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub>, Grignard addition, elimination and hydrogenation. We are currently studying the scope of this process as well as additional applications of the methodology to the synthesis of *cis*-3,4,5-triarylpiperidines.

#### Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the National Science Council (NSC 94-2113-M-390-001) of the Republic of China for financial support.

### Supplementary data

Photocopies of <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) spectral data for **3A–B**, **4A–B**, **5Aa–Ad**, and **5Ba–Bd** were supported. Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.tetlet. 2006.03.141.

#### **References and notes**

- (a) Domagala, J. M.; Hagan, S. E.; Joannides, T.; Kiely, J. S.; Laborde, E.; Schroeder, M. C.; Sesnie, J. A.; Shapiro, M. A.; Suto, M. J.; Vanderroest, S. J. Med. Chem. 1993, 36, 871; (b) Johnston, G. A. R.; Curtis, D. R.; Davies, J.; McCulloch, R. M. Nature 1974, 248, 804; (c) Pedder, D. J.; Fales, H. M.; Jaouni, T.; Blum, M.; MacConnell, J.; Crewe, R. M. Tetrahedron 1976, 32, 2275; (d) Blanco, M. J.; Sardina, F. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 8493; (e) Eckert, J. W.; Rahm, M. L.; Koldezen, M. J. J. Agric. Food Chem. 1972, 20, 104; (f) Whitesell, J. K. Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 1581; (g) Tomioka, K. Synthesis 1990, 541; (h) Noyori, R.; Kitamura, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1991, 30, 49; (i) Husinec, S.; Savic, V. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2005, 16, 2047.
- (a) Westrum, L. J.; Meyers, A. I. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1994, 7, 973; (b) Meyers, A. I.; Synder, L. J. Org. Chem. 1993,

58, 36; (c) Negron, G.; Roussi, G.; Zhang, J. Heterocycles
1992, 34, 293; (d) Fray, A. H.; Meyers, A. I. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 3575; (e) Zella, R. E. Synthesis 1991, 1023; (f) Wee, A. G. H. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1989, 1363; (g) Padwa, A.; Chen, Y.-Y.; Chiacchio, U.; Dent, W. Tetrahedron 1985, 41, 3529; (h) Bettoni, G.; Cellucci, C.; Berardi, F. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1980, 17, 603; (i) Tseng, C. C.; Terashima, S.; Yamada, S.-I. Chem. Pharm. Bull.
1977, 25, 166; (j) Bettoni, G.; Cellucci, C.; Tortorella, V. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1976, 13, 1053.

- (a) Fedij, V.; Lenoir, E. A.; Suto, M. J.; Zeller, J. R.; Wemple, J. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1994**, *5*, 1131; (b) Nakajima, M.; Tomioka, K.; Koga, K. *Tetrahedron* **1993**, *49*, 9735; (c) Plummer, J. S.; Emery, L. A.; Stier, M. A.; Suto, M. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1993**, *34*, 7529; (d) Mehler, T.; Martens, J.; Wallbaum, S. *Synth. Commun.* **1993**, *23*, 2691; (e) Johnson, D. R.; Szotek, D. L.; Domagala, J. M.; Stichney, T. M.; Michel, A.; Kampf, J. W. *J. Heterocycl. Chem.* **1992**, *29*, 1481; (f) Flanagan, D. M.; Joullie, M. M. *Heterocycles* **1987**, *26*, 2247.
- 4. (a) Kuroki, Y.; Iseki, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 8231; (b) Hale, J. J.; Budhu, R. J.; Mills, S. G.; MacCoss, M.; Malkowitz, L.; Siciliano, S.; Gould, S. L.; DeMartino, J. A.; Springer, M. S. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2001, 11, 1437; (c) Shen, D. M.; Shu, M.; Mills, S. G.; Chapman, K. T.; Malkowiz, L.; Springer, M. S.; Gould, S. L.; DeMartino, J. A.; Siciliano, S. J.; Kwei, G. Y.; Carella, A.; Carver, G.; Holmes, K.; Schleif, W. A.; Danzeisen, R.; Hazuda, D.; Kessler, J.; Lineberger, J.; Miller, M. D.; Emini, E. A. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2004, 14, 953; (d) Shankaran, K.; Donnelly, K. L.; Shah, S. K.; Guthikonda, R. N.; MacCoss, M.; Mills, S. G.; Gould, S. L.; Malkowitz, L.; Siciliano, S. J.; Springer, M. S.; Carella, A.; Carver, G.; Hazuda, D.; Holmes, K.; Kessler, J.; Lineberger, J.; Miller, M. D.; Emini, E. A.; Schleif, W. A. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2004, 14, 3419.
- 5. (a) Johnson, T. A.; Jang, D. O.; Slafer, B. W.; Curtis, M. D.; Beak, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 11689; (b) Nakajima, M.; Tomioka, K.; Koga, K. Tetrahedron 1993, 49, 9735; (c) Rao, V. D.; Periasamy, M. Synthesis 2000. 703; (d) Andres, C.; Duque-Soladana, J. P.; Pedrosa, R. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 4282; (e) Couty, F.; Durrat, F.; Prim, D. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 5209; (f) Katritzky, A. R.; Feng, D.; Fang, Y. Synlett 1999, 590; (g) Periasamy, M.; Kanth, J. V. B.; Reddy, C. K. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1995, 427; (h) Roussi, G.; Zhang, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 3481; (i) Katritzky, A. R.; Fang, Y.; Qi, M.; Feng, D. Heterocycles 1998, 48, 2535; (j) Roussi, G.; Zhang, J. Tetrahedron 1991, 47, 5161; (k) Bhanu Prasad, A. S.; Bhaskar Kanth, J. V.; Periasamy, M. Tetrahedron 1992, 48, 4623; (1) Katritzky, A. R.; Feng, D.; Qi, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 6835; (m) Negron, G.; Roussi, G.; Zhang, J. Heterocycles 1992, 34, 293; (n) Chastanet, J.; Roussi, G. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 3808; (o) Beugelmans, R.; Benadjila-Iguertsira, L.; Chastanet, J.; Negron, G.; Roussi, G. Can. J. Chem. 1985, 63, 725; (p) Denmark, S. E.; Marcin, L. R. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 3857; (q) Bhaskar Kanth, J. V.; Reddy, C. K.; Periasamy, M. Synth. Commun. 1994, 24, 313; (r) Kubota, H.; Koga, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 6689; (s) Tsuge, O.; Kanemasa, S.; Ohe, M.; Takenaka, S. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1987, 60, 4079; (t) Hulst, R.; Zijlstra, R. W. J.; de Vries, N. K.; Feringa, B. L. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1994, 5, 1701; (u) Arnold, L. A.; Imbos, R.; Mandoli, A.; de Vries, A. H. M.; Naasz, R.; Feringa, B. L. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 2865; (v) Schering Corp. US 2852526, 1955.
- (a) Chang, M. Y.; Pai, C. L.; Kung, Y. H. *Tetrahedron* Lett. 2005, 46, 8463; (b) Chang, M. Y.; Pai, C. L.; Kung, Y. H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2006, 47, 855; (c) Chang, M. Y.;

Lin, C. Y.; Pai, C. L. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 2565.

- 7. A representative procedure for olefins 3 is as follows: m-Chloroperoxybenzoic acid (255 mg, 75%, 1.1 mmol) was added to a solution of aldehydes 3 (0.5 mmol) and sodium carbonate (130 mg, 1.2 mmol) in dichloromethane (10 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 3 h. The total procedure was monitored by TLC until the reaction was completed. Saturated sodium carbonate solution (5 mL) was added to the reaction mixture and the solvent was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with ethyl acetate  $(3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$ . The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried, filtered and evaporated to afford crude product under reduced pressure. Without further purification, Boron trifluoride etherate (0.1 mL) was added to a stirring solution of the resulting crude product in dichloromethane (10 mL) at rt. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 15 min. Saturated sodium bicarbonate solution (5 mL) was added to the reaction mixture and the solvent was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with ethyl acetate  $(3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$ . The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried, filtered, and evaporated to afford crude product under reduced pressure. Purification on silica gel (hexane/ethyl acetate = 10:1) afforded olefins **3**. For **3A**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.77 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.33–7.27 (m, 7H), 6.01 (t, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (td, J = 2.0, 4.0 Hz, 2H), 4.31 (td, J = 2.0, 4.0 Hz, 2H), 2.42 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) & 143.56, 137.34, 134.08, 132.48, 129.83 (2×), 128.68 (2×), 128.43, 127.47 (2×), 125.38 (2×), 118.86, 55.66, 54.90, 21.50; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>18</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>S (M<sup>+</sup>+1) 300.1058, found 300.1058. For **3B**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.77 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.27–7.24 (m, 2H), 7.02 (t, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, 5.94 (t, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.46–4.44 (m, 2H), 4.31–4.28 (m, 2H), 2.42 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  163.59, 161.62, 143.62, 136.28, 133.99, 129.85 (2×), 127.45 (2×), 127.13, 127.06, 118.64, 115.77, 115.59, 55.60, 54.92, 21.50; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>17</sub>FNO<sub>2</sub>S (M<sup>+</sup>+1) 318.0964, found 318.0963.
- 8. A representative procedure for ketones 4 with one-pot reaction is as follows: m-Chloroperoxybenzoic acid (255 mg, 75%, 1.1 mmol) was added to a solution of olefins 3 (0.8 mmol) in dichloromethane (10 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 3 h. The total procedure was monitored by TLC until the reaction was completed. Without further purification, boron trifluoride etherate (0.1 mL) was added to a stirred solution of the resulting reaction mixture at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 15 min. Saturated sodium bicarbonate solution (10 mL) was added to the reaction mixture and the solvent was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with ethyl acetate  $(3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$ . The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried, filtered, and evaporated to afford crude products under reduced pressure. Purification on silica gel (hexane/ethyl acetate = 8:1-4:1) afforded ketones 4. For 4A <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.76 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.33–7.28 (m, 3H), 7.15 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 4.09 (dd, J = 9.0, 10.0 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (d, J = 17.5 Hz, 1H), 3.73 (t, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 3.54 (d, J = 17.5 Hz, 1H), 3.49 (dd, J = 9.0, 10.0 Hz, 1H), 2.47 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 207.28, 144.62, 134.16, 131.47, 130.08 (2×), 128.99 (2×), 127.96 (3×), 127.88 (2×), 53.84, 53.57, 51.79, 21.59; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>18</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>S (M<sup>+</sup>+1) 316.1007, found 316.1009. For 4B <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.76 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H),

7.40 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.14–7.12 (m, 2H), 7.02 (t, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 4.07 (dd, J = 9.0, 10.0 Hz, 1H), 3.82 (d, J = 17.5 Hz, 1H), 3.72 (t, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 3.53 (d, J = 17.5 Hz, 1H), 3.45 (dd, J = 9.0, 10.0 Hz, 1H), 2.48 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  207.07, 163.34, 161.37, 144.72, 131.45, 130.12 (2×), 129.59, 129.52, 127.99 (2×), 116.08, 115.91, 53.75, 52.83, 51.74, 21.62; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for  $C_{17}H_{17}FNO_3S$  (M<sup>+</sup>+1) 334.0913, found 334.0913.

- (a) Ranu, B. C.; Jana, U. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 8212; (b) Kia, Y.; Yoshida, Y.; Kitagaki, S.; Mihara, S.; Fang, D. F.; Furukawa, A.; Higuchi, K.; Fujioka, H. Tetrahedron 1999, 55, 4979; (c) Maruoka, K.; Nagahara, S.; Ooi, T.; Yamamoto, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 5607.
- 10. A representative procedure for cis-3,4-diarylpyrrolidines 5Aa-Ad and 5Ba-Bd is as follows: A solution of arylmagnesium bromide (0.5 M in tetrahydrofuran, 1 mL) was added to a stirred solution of ketones 4A or **4B** (0.3 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (5 mL) at -78 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h. The total procedure was monitored by TLC until the reaction was completed. Without further purification, boron trifluoride etherate (0.1 mL) was added to a stirred solution of the resulting reaction mixture at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 15 min. Saturated sodium bicarbonate solution (1 mL) was added to the reaction mixture and the solvent was concentrated under reduced pressure. Water (2 mL) and ethyl acetate (10 mL) were added to the residue and the mixture was filtered through a short plug of Celite. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with ethyl acetate  $(3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$ . The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried, filtered, and evaporated to afford crude product under reduced pressure. Without further purification, 10% palladium on activated carbon (10 mg) was added to a stirring solution of the resulting olefins in methanol (10 mL). Then hydrogen was bubbled into the mixture for 10 min, and the reaction mixture was continued to stir at rt for 10 h. The catalyst was filtered through a short plug of Celite and washing with methanol  $(2 \times 10 \text{ mL})$ . The combined organic layers were evaporated under reduced pressure to yield the crude compound. Purification on silica gel (hexane/ethyl acetate = 3: 1) afforded *cis*-3,4-diarylpyrrolidines **5Aa**-Ad and **5Ba**-Bd. For 5Aa <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.85 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.41 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.10–7.02 (m, 6H), 6.64 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 4H), 3.76 (dd, J = 10.0, 11.5 Hz, 4H), 3.50–3.46 (m, 2H), 2.50 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) & 143.62, 137.63 (2×), 134.31, 129.89 (2×), 128.13 (4×), 127.91 (4×), 127.51 (2×), 126.78 (2×), 51.74 (2×), 49.08 (2×), 21.58; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>24</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>S  $(M^{+}+1)$  378.1528, found 378.1529. For **5Ab** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.85 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.04–6.95 (m, 4H), 6.65–6.60 (m, 5H), 3.93–3.61 (m, 6H), 3.49 (s, 3H), 2.50 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 156.89, 143.49, 138.57, 134.36, 129.84 (2×), 127.82 (2×), 127.78, 127.53 (2×), 127.51 (2×),

127.09, 126.42, 126.30, 120.10, 109.80, 54.86, 52.23, 50.69, 47.15, 41.79, 21.57; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>26</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>S (M<sup>+</sup>+1) 408.1633, found 408.1636. For **5Ac** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.85 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.10–7.04 (m, 3H), 6.66 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.59–6.55 (m, 4H), 3.77–3.68 (m, 4H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 3.44–3.42 (m, 2H), 2.50 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 158.28, 143.56, 137.79, 134.30, 129.86 (2×), 129.55, 129.12 (2×), 128.18 (2×), 127.92 (2×), 127.47 (2×), 126.71, 113.24 (2×), 55.08, 51.94, 51.74, 49.06, 48.35, 21.26; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C24H26NO3S (M<sup>+</sup>+1) 408.1633, found 408.1634. For **5Ad**<sup>-1</sup>H<sup>-</sup>NMR  $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3) \delta$  7.84 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.13–7.06 (m, 3H), 6.69 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.48 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 6.13 (dd, J = 1.5, 8.5 Hz, 1H), 6.10 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.84 (s, 2H), 3.75–3.63 (m, 4H), 3.45–3.38 (m, 2H), 2.50 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) & 147.28, 146.20, 143.68, 137.65, 134.21, 131.44, 129.90 (2×), 128.13 (2×), 128.01 (2×), 127.48 (2×), 126.87, 121.37, 108.50, 107.68, 100.80, 52.01, 51.68, 49.07, 48.85, 21.59; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>24</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>S (M<sup>+</sup>+1) 422.1426, found 422.1422. For **5Ba** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.85 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.41 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.12-7.04 (m, 3H), 6.74-6.71 (m, 2H), 6.65-6.59 (m, 4H), 3.76-3.69 (m, 4H), 3.49-3.43 (m, 2H), 2.50 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  162.61, 160.66, 143.69, 137.42, 134.24, 133.39, 133.36, 129.91 (2×), 129.63, 129.56, 128.09, 128.06, 127.51 (2×), 126.93, 114.86, 114.69, 51.94, 51.50, 49.02, 48.40, 21.59; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>23</sub>FNO<sub>2</sub>S (M<sup>+</sup>+1) 396.1434, found 396.1435. For **5Bb** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.83 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.11–7.07 (m, 1H), 6.68– 6.56 (m, 7H), 3.90-3.82 (m, 2H), 3.75-3.60 (m, 4H), 3.52 (s, 3H), 2.50 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 162.40, 160.65, 156.79, 143.57, 134.29, 129.86 (2×), 129.28, 129.21, 127.94, 127.53 (2×), 127.07, 126.06, 120.24, 114.38, 114.21, 109.82, 54.87, 52.32, 50.52, 46.41, 41.75, 21.58; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>25</sub>FNO<sub>3</sub>S (M<sup>+</sup>+1) 426.1539, found 426.1540. For **5Bc** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.84 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.41 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.75 (t, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.64–6.55 (m, 6H), 3.75–3.66 (m, 4H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 3.43–3.38 (m, 2H), 2.50 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 162.61, 160.66, 158.41, 143.66, 134.27, 133.54, 129.90 (2×), 129.69, 129.63, 129.12 (2×), 127.51 (2×), 114.88, 114.71, 113.39 (2×), 55.14, 51.95, 51.72, 48.42, 48.34, 21.60; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>25</sub>FNO<sub>3</sub>S (M<sup>+</sup>+1) 426.1539, found 426.1542. For **5Bd** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.34 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 6.77 (t, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.65 (dd, J = 6.0, 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.50 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 6.12–6.11 (m, 2H), 5.86 (s, 2H), 3.74–3.62 (m, 4H), 3.44–3.36 (m, 2H), 2.50 (s, 3H);  $^{13}\mathrm{C}$  NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 162.64, 160.69, 147.40, 146.30, 143.77, 134.12, 131.23, 129.93 (2×), 129.62, 129.56, 127.48 (2×), 121.32, 114.94, 114.78, 108.41, 107.79, 100.88, 51.86, 51.78, 48.77, 48.37, 21.59; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>23</sub>FNO<sub>4</sub>S (M<sup>+</sup>+1) 440.1332, found 440.1334.